	Case 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM Docume	ent 20 Filed 11/20/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO A. VALENZUELA,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT
13	v.	COUNSEL
14	SANTIESTEBAN, et al.,	(ECF No. 19)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Mario A. Valenzuela ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
18	forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's renewed motion to appoint counsel, filed	
20	November 19, 2020. (ECF No. 19.) In his motion, Plaintiff states that he is requesting	
21	appointment of counsel because it is impossible to get access to the law library and he is currently	
22	part of the mental health system at the level of CCCMS. Plaintiff also received special education	
23	in the past, and it is impossible for him to understand the law. ( <u>Id.</u> )	
24	As Plaintiff has been informed, he does not have a constitutional right to appointed	
25	counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), rev'd in part on	
26	other grounds, 154 F.3d 952, 954 n.1 (9th Cir. 1998), and the court cannot require an attorney to	
27	represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court for the S. Dist.	
28	of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the court may	
		1

## Case 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM Document 20 Filed 11/20/20 Page 2 of 2 1 request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 2 1525. 3 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek 4 volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether 5 "exceptional circumstances exist, a district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success on 6 the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the 7 complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). 8 The Court has considered Plaintiff's request, but does not find the required exceptional 9 circumstances. Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has 10 made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. 11 This Court is faced with similar cases filed by prisoners who are proceeding *pro se* almost daily. 12 Many of these prisoners also have limited education and receive mental health treatment. These 13 litigants also must conduct legal research and litigate their cases without the assistance of counsel. 14 Furthermore, at this stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a determination that 15 Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Although Plaintiff's complaint has been screened and 16 found to state some cognizable claims, this does not alone indicate a likelihood of success on the 17 merits. Finally, based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff 18 cannot adequately articulate his claims. 19 Accordingly, Plaintiff's renewed motion to appoint counsel, (ECF No. 19), is HEREBY 20 DENIED, without prejudice. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 /s/Barbara A. McAuli

27

28

23

24

25

26

Dated: November 20, 2020

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE